



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

**DATE MAILED:** 

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE D 09/326,405 LUNDAHL 363-01 06/04/99 **EXAMINER** PM82/0920 DEAN P EDMUNDSON TRAN A.P ART UNIT PAPER\_NUMBER 1136 E STUART ST SUITE 3220 FT COLLINS CO 80525 3635

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

09/20/00

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/326,405

Phi Dieu Tran A

Examiner

Group Art Unit 3635

Dave Lundahl

Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Jun 4, 1999* This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims \_\_\_\_\_is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. X Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_\_ is. ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ■ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/326405 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loeb.

Loeb shows a frameless window screen system having a screen (16), first and second fastener strips (14,18) detachably fastening the screen to the frame, the screen mesh being rectangular.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loeb.

Loeb shows all the claimed limitations except for the screen being non-rectangular.

Application/Control Number: 09/326405

Art Unit: 3635

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to show the screen being

Page 3

non-rectangular because it is a matter of design choice to make the screen any sizes and shapes to

fit the sizes and shapes of window frames.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The prior art shows different window frames.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Phi Dieu Tran A whose telephone number is (703) 306-9136. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:00. The examiner can also be

reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Carl Friedman, can be reached on (703) 308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

PA Phi Dieu Tran A

9/13/2000

Carl D. Friedman

Supervisory Pater (इप्रवातातका

Group 3600